

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,092	07/08/2003	Pascale Lazzeri	05725.1209-00000	8346
22852	7590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			MRUK, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
LAZZERI ET AL.	
Art Unit	·
1751	
	LAZZERI ET AL. Art Unit

	Brian P. Mruk	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply misses	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later U		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or The Statut PE I STATE TO A STATE OF THE STATE OF	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	viance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in Comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North design of the date of filing a North design of the definition of the definiti	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I				
		Brian P. Mrus	1,		
		Brian P Mruk Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Dubief et al, FR 2,795,953, discloses a composition that contains a cationic inulin, which is outside the scope of the instant claims. However, the examiner asserts that Dubief et al discloses on page 3, line 3 and page 43, claim 7, that the cosmetic composition contains an inulin, which would include nonionic, anionic and cationic inulins. Therefore, the examiner asserts that Dubief et al meets the limitations of the instant claims.